



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/600,458	08/14/2000	ANDREAS SASSE	RBL0064	3399
7590 06/30/2005			EXAMINER	
JOHN F HOFFMAN			SHARMA, SUJATHA R	
BAKER & DANIELS 111 EAST WAYNE STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SUITE 800			2684	
FORT WAYNE, IN 46802			DATE MAILED: 06/30/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/600,458	SASSE, ANDREAS				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sujatha Sharma	2684				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period where the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Fe	ebruary 2005.					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	·					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 and 15 is/are pending in the appleada) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 and 15 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the c		• •				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression 11.	- · · ·	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	-					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2684

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-12,15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eul [DE 196 10 840 A1] in view of Basso [US 6,317,131] and further in view of Sugita [US .6,564,048].

Regarding claim 1, Eul discloses a procedure to load electronic games on a mobile communication transmitter of a mobile communication network. Further, Eul discloses a method of communicating between a subscriber (MS in Fig.1) and value added service node (SE/SCP IN Fig. 1) relative to value added services offered with the objects, the objects including one of executable programs, functions and data and further controlling, modifying or executing the objects via the wireless interface of the mobile communication system (see translation document: Fig. 1, page 5, paragraphs 1,2; page 6, paragraph 2; page 7, paragraphs 1,2; page 11, paragraphs 1,2). Eul further discloses the method of transferring, activating, modifying and updating taking place via SMS (see translation document: page 13, paragraph 2). Eul however does not disclose a method of verifying the technical capability of the communicating device and further the application/object adapting to the technical capability of the communication device that has requested the object/application and loading into the mobile station an object suitable to the

Application/Control Number: 09/600,458 Page 3

Art Unit: 2684

technical capabilities of the mobile station, wherein the technical capabilities of the mobile station are stored in a special database.

Basso teaches this limitation where multimedia data is displayed based on both the user preferences and terminal capabilities (see background of invention, summary of invention, col.2, lines 54-58, col. 3, 15-40).

Eul and Basso are combinable since they are from the same field of endeavor, i.e., interaction for multimedia delivery and presentation using nodes in a communication network. At the time of invention, it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to provide the teachings of Basso to Eul in order to launch the object in an optimal manner that uses only those properties and features that are available on the particular portable device.

Eul and Basso teach all the limitations as claimed. However they fail to teach a method of comparing the version number of the available object with a version number of the object available in the mobile station and loading the more up-to-date object in the mobile station. Sugita, in the same field of endeavor, teaches a method of comparing the version number of the available object with a version number of the object available in the mobile station and loading the more up-to-date object in the mobile station. See col. 2, lines 23-45 and col. 3, lines 42-54. Therefore it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the teachings of Sugita to Eul and Basso so that the value added service with more improved features can be used.

Art Unit: 2684

Regarding claims 2, Eul further discloses a method where the applications/objects are loaded via the aerial interface (see translation document: Fig. 1, page 5, paragraphs 1,2; page 6, paragraph 2; page 7, paragraphs 1,2; page 11, paragraphs 1,2).

Regarding claim 3, Eul further discloses a method where the applications are loaded and modified by the operators via wireless interface (see translation document: Fig. 1, page 5, paragraphs 1,2; page 6, paragraph 2; page 7, paragraphs 1,2; page 11, paragraphs 1,2).

Regarding claim 4, Eul further discloses the method of displaying the applications/objects in a menu application (see translation document: page 6, paragraph 2).

Regarding claim 5, Eul further discloses a method where an application enables the response to a query by means of loading a new object/application in dependence of the action previously executed (see translation document: Fig. 1, page 5, paragraphs 1,2; page 6, paragraph 2; page 7, paragraphs 1,2; page 11, paragraphs 1,2).

Regarding claim 6, Eul further discloses a method where the applications are stored in a central database/server and is loaded into the mobile station (see translation document: Fig. 1, page 5, paragraphs 1,2; page 6, paragraph 2; page 7, paragraphs 1,2; page 11, paragraphs 1,2).

Art Unit: 2684

Regarding claim 7, Eul further discloses a method where the applications are loaded and stored in the permanent memory of the mobile terminal (see translation document: Fig. 3 and page 12).

Regarding claims 9, Eul further discloses the method of transferring, activating, modifying and updating taking place via SMS (see translation document: page 13, paragraph 2).

Regarding claims 10,15 Eul further discloses a method where keys/combination of keys are allocated by the applications to indicate functions of Value added services (see translation document: Fig. 3 and page 12)

Regarding claims 11 and 12, Eul further discloses a method where the loading of the application(s) is carried out by selected events initiated by the subscriber (see translation document: Fig. 1, page 5, paragraphs 1,2; page 6, paragraph 2; page 7, paragraphs 1,2; page 11, paragraphs 1,2).

2. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Eul [DE 196 10 840 A1] Basso [US 6,317,131] and Sugita [US .6,564,048] in view of Michaels [US 6,011,976]. Regarding claim 8, modified Eul teach all the limitations as claimed. However they fail to teach a method of downloading the object/game into the subscriber identity module (SIM) of the mobile unit.

Art Unit: 2684

Michaels, in the same field of endeavor, teaches a method of downloading application data file programs into the SIM card (see col. 5, line 45 – col. 6, line 36).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include the teachings of Michaels to modified Eul in order to facilitate the user to access the object/game even if the user has been disconnected form the mobile phone network.

## Response to Arguments

The applicant argues that Eul combined with Sugita and Basso do not disclose a method of communicating a short message from the value added services node to the mobile station containing the version number of the object available from the value added services node;

The examiner respectfully disagrees. Eul modified by Basso discloses a method where short message service (SMS) is used to transmit data associated with the selected electronic game or the game program itself from the value added service node/service control unit (SCP) to the mobile unit. See Eul reference, page 14, lines 5-11. Sugita, in the same field of endeavor, teaches a method of comparing the version number of the available object with a version number of the object available in the mobile station and loading the more up-to-date object in the mobile station. See col. 2, lines 23-45 and col. 3, lines 42-54.

Therefore Eul, Basso and Sugita being analogous art i.e. mobile communication technology can be combined in order to use the SMS technology to transmit the updated version number from the value added service node to the mobile station.

Art Unit: 2684

The applicant further argues that Eul combined with Sugita and Basso do not disclose a method of communicating a short message from the value added services node to the subscriber identity module which updates a display of the mobile station upon successful completion of an operation in the value added services node, the operation selected by the user of the mobile station.

The examiner respectfully disagrees. Eul reference teaches a method where short / message service (SMS) is used to transmit data associated with the selected electronic game or the game program itself from the value added service node/service control unit (SCP) to the mobile unit. See page 14, lines 5-11. Eul further discloses the method of displaying the applications/objects in a menu application (see translation document: page 6, paragraph 2). Eul further discloses a method where an application enables the response to a query by means of loading a new object/application in dependence of the action previously executed (see translation document: Fig. 1, page 5, paragraphs 1,2; page 6, paragraph 2; page 7, paragraphs 1,2; page 11, paragraphs 1,2).

Michaels, in the same field of endeavor, teaches a method of downloading application data file programs into the SIM card (see col. 5, line 45 - col. 6, line 36).

Therefore the above-cited references, being in the analogous art of mobile communications, can be combined to meet the limitations as discussed above.

Therefore the references are held as a valid prior art and the rejection of the claims as discussed above is considered proper.

Art Unit: 2684

## Conclusion

1. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sujatha Sharma whose telephone number is 571-272-7886. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7.30am - 4.00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nay Maung can be reached on 571-272-7882. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2684

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sujatha Sharma June 7, 2005 TILAHUN GESESSE
TILAHUN GESESSE
TODINARY EXAMINER

Page 9